

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,180	12/31/2003	Vibhu Mittal	GOOGP018	4999
23689 7590 04/05/2007 Jung-hua Kuo		EXAMINER		
Attorney At La			HUYNH, THU V	
PO Box 3275 Los Altos, CA 94024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/750,180	MITTAL, VIBHU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Thu V. Huynh	2178			
- · · · ·	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of .37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ja	2007				
	•	action is non-final.				
3)□	•		esecution as to the morits is			
٥/١	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		in parte Quayre, 150,5 C.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		·			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	A COLOR OF TOTAL			
	•					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen			•			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2178

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed on 01/08/07 to application filed on 12/31/03.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 8, 11, 20 and 26 are currently amended.
- 3. Claims 1-28 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 11 and 20 are independent claims.
- 4. The rejections of claims 8 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter in the previous office action, have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.
- 5. The rejections of claims 20-28 under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter in the previous office action, have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 8-14, 17-23 and 26-28 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Goodisman</u> et al., US 2002/0069223 A1, published 06/06/02.

Regarding independent claim 1, Goodisman teaches the steps of:

- locating a text reference in a source document (Goodisman, [0052], [0053], parsing a document into text blocks, wherein a text block includes one or more object);

Page 3

locating a label corresponding to the text reference (Goodisman, fig.6; [0052], [0053], [0056]; locating/establishing a label, such as name "JohnSmith", as an object for the text block);

- identifying a target document relating to the text reference (Goodisman, [0039], [0052], [0053], [0059]; identifying a target document related to the text block that includes the object);
- deriving an anchor text corresponding to the target document utilizing the source document and the label (Goodisman, fig.6; [0053], [0056]; obtaining and modifying the label to a highlighted/underlined hyperlink, such as highlighted/underlined name "JohnSmith" hyperlink in the document; linking the highlighted/underlined text reference to the target document when the hyperlink is activated/selected);
- generating a hyperlink to the target document (Goodisman, [0053], [0056], [0059]; selecting/clicking the object causing retrieving and displaying the target document); and
- associated the hyperlink with the anchor text (Goodisman, [0053], [0056]; automatically associating the hyperlink with the name "JohnSmith" by linkify engine so that selecting/clicking the name "JohnSmith" causing retrieving and displaying the target document).

Regarding claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Goodisman teaches deriving the text reference based on a statistical model of at least one of the text formatting and lexical cues (Goodisman, [0053]; parsing the document based on the type of the input document).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Goodisman teaches comparing text from the source document with a list of predetermined references (Goodisman, [0053]; pattern matcher includes "linguistic, keyword proximity and word sequence analysis" to identify a name).

Regarding claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1, Goodisman teaches associating the hyperlink with the label (Goodisman, fig.6; [0053], associating the hyperlink with the name "JohnSmith").

Regarding claim 5, which is dependent on claim 4, Goodisman teaches deriving the label based on a statistical model of at least one of text formatting and lexical cues (Goodisman, [0053]; obtaining the label, such as name, phone number, social security number based on "linguistic, keyword proximity and word sequence analysis").

Regarding claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Goodisman teaches parsing the text reference into a plurality pieces of text, wherein the identifying, deriving, generating, and associating are performed for each of the plurality pieces of text (Goodisman; fig.6; [0024],[0053]; wherein the text block is a sentence that has two objects so that two hyperlinks are generated as in fig.6).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Goodisman teaches wherein the source document is selected from the group consisting of an HTML document, a text document, a postscript document, a Portable Document Format (PDF) document, a PowerPoint document, a Word document, and Excel document and a close-captioned video (Goodisman, [0030], [0050]).

Regarding claim 10, which is dependent on claim 1, the text reference is reference to one of a paper, article, company, institution, product, search engine, image, object, and geographical location (Goodisman; [0053]; the text block includes an object)

Claims 11-14 and 17-19 are for a computer system performing the method of claims 1-5, 8-10, respectively and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 20-23 and 26-28 are for a computer readable medium including instructions performing the method of claims 1-5, 8-10, respectively and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 6, 15 and 24 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Art Unit: 2178

over <u>Goodisman</u> as applied to claim 4 above and further in view of <u>Glover</u> et al., US 2003/0221163 A1, filed 02/03.

Regarding claim 6, which is dependent on claim 4, Goodisman does not explicitly teach deriving a label anchor text depending on whether the label corresponding to the text reference precedes or follows a text phrase.

Glover teaches deriving a label anchor text depending on whether the label corresponding to the text reference precedes or follows a text phrase (Glover, figures 4; [0034]; extended anchortext (410, 414, 418) are extracted including text references before, after or before and after label "Yahoo").

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Glover's teaching and Goodisman's teaching to extract text before, after or surround the label, since the combination would have provided label anchor text including the label and text surround the label to link to a target document.

Claim 15 is for a computer system performing the method of claim 6 is rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 24 is for a computer readable medium including instructions performing the method of claim 6 is rejected under the same rationale.

10. Claims 7, 16 and 25 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Goodisman and Glover</u> as applied to claim 6 above and further in view of <u>Hennings</u> et al., US 6,763,496 B1, filed 03/31/99.

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 7, which is dependent on claim 6, Goodisman does not explicitly teaches the label anchor text is a longest noun phrase extracted from the text phrase following or preceding the label when the label precedes or follows the phrase, respectively.

Hennings teaches anchor text link comprising a phrase, a picture icon, or a phrase and an icon (Hennings, col.2, lines 54-65).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Hennings' teaching into Goodisman and Glover's teaching to extract a phrase before, after the label, since the combination would have provided label anchor text including a phrase before or after the label; or combination of a phrase before or after the label and an the label (object such as icon, image, trademark, identifier).

Claim 16 is for a computer system performing the method of claim 6 is rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 25 is for a computer readable medium including instructions performing the method of claim 6 is rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/08/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants ague with respect to claims 1-5, 8-14, 17-23 and 26-28 that independent claims 1, 11 and 20 recites "locating a label corresponding to the text reference, which is then used in generating the anchor text" and in the specification the label is indicates as "a footnote,

Art Unit: 2178

endnote or a number corresponding to a listing in a bibliography, is merely a representation of the detail reference" and "refer to detailed references in another section of the source document, such as detailed reference 140 in a listing of cited references, a bibliography, an endnotes section, or the like as shown in FIG.3" (Remarks, page 7, last paragraph – page 8 second paragraph).

This is not persuasive. Goodisman teaches locating a label corresponding to the text reference, such as name, phone number, etc. that is used to generate a hypertext link (Goodisman, fig. 6; [0053], [0056]). It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the label is a footnote, endnote or a number corresponding to a listing in a bibliography) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Okamoto, US 6572,660 B1, filed 08/99, teaches method for creating hyperlinks and hyperlinked documents from direct manipulation of information.

Alexander et al., US 2004/0205497A1, filed 10/2/01, teaches system for automatic generation of arbitrarily indexed hyperlinked text.

Kamada, US 6,772,394 B1, filed 09/1997, teaches selecting hot spots by the use of operation buttons.

Art Unit: 2178

Chen, US 6,009,444, filed 02/1997, teaches text input device and method.

Kraft, IV, US 5,870,767, filed 11/96, teaches method for rendering hyperlink information in a printable medium from a graphical user interface.

Patel et al., US 6,839,702 B1, filed 12/00, teaches method for highlighting search results.

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu V. Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2178

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thu V. Huynh March 24, 2007

-ythlugul